PROJECT EVALUATION FORMS – FOR PATIENTS AND SCIENTIFIC PROJECT TEAMS

Purpose: Satisfaction survey for patients and scientific project partners to document their engagement experience with a specific project and team.

PATIENT PARTNER FORM

Name of project: ____________________________

Name of reviewer: __________________________

Date of review: ______________________________

Process

1. How easy was it to contribute to the project?
   a. Very easy
   b. Somewhat easy
   c. Moderately easy
   d. Somewhat challenging
   e. Very challenging

2. Were you able to effectively communicate with the project team? Y/N
   If no,
   a. was there too much jargon and not enough explanation in communications? Y/N
   b. was there enough time devoted to your questions? Y/N
   c. were there other specific issues? (specify in text)

Impact

3. Did you feel you were able to contribute to the project in a meaningful way? Why or why not?
4. What was your most important takeaway from this project?
5. What did you feel was your most important contribution(s)?
6. In a sentence or two how would you explain the purpose of this project to others?
7. Has working on this project influenced your interest in participating in other research projects in a similar capacity?
8. Has working on this project increased your knowledge and understanding of how to collaborate with scientists on research projects? In what way?

Context

9. What lessons learned have come up that you think might inform others who are interested in involving patient partners in research?

******************************************************************************************

Funding for PORTAL was provided by the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI), grant number CDRN-1306-04681. Principal Investigator: Elizabeth McGlynn, PhD, Vice President, Kaiser Permanente Research.

This toolkit was developed in full partnership with the PORTAL Patient Engagement Council (PEC) patient stakeholders. These materials may be used to help engage patients as research partners. The PEC is no longer a funded or active group. If you would like to reach out to one of the PEC members to engage them in a future project, please email Carmit McMullen, PhD, Senior Investigator, Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research: Carmit.McMullen@kpchr.org.
SCIENTIFIC PARTNER FORM

Name of project: ___________________________________________________________

Name of reviewer: _________________________________________________________

Date of review: ___________________________________________________________

Process

1. How easy was it to work with the patient partners on the project?
   a. Very easy
   b. Somewhat easy
   c. Moderately easy
   d. Somewhat challenging
   e. Very challenging

2. Were you able to effectively communicate with the patient partners? Y/N
   If no,
   a. were there specific issues? (specify in text)
   b. what could have been done differently to be more effective?

Impact

3. Did you feel the patient partners contributed to the project in a meaningful way? Why or why not?

4. What was your most important takeaway from this project?

5. What did you feel was your patient partners’ most important contribution(s)?

6. In a sentence or two how would you explain the purpose of engaging patients in the project?

7. Has working on this project influenced your interest in engaging patient partners in participating in other Research projects in a similar capacity?

8. Has working on this project increased your knowledge and understanding of how to collaborate with patient partners on research projects? In what way?

Context

9. What lessons learned have come up that you think might inform others who are interested in involving patient partners in research?
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