PROPOSAL/MANUSCRIPT REVIEW FORM

Proposal/Manuscript Title:

Please briefly comment on how well the proposal/manuscript addresses issues and outcomes that are important to patients.

For example, you might consider:

1. Ways in which the proposed study/manuscript
   a. Is relevant to/might benefit patients
   b. Is not relevant to/might not benefit patients (for example, are there any aspects of the study/manuscript that you think that patients would find insensitive or otherwise problematic?)

2. The extent to which there are opportunities for, and/or actual involvement with patients to be engaged in the development of the proposal/manuscript, conduct of the study/manuscript and/or dissemination of the study/manuscript results
   a. Were patients involved/engaged in the development?
      i. if yes what were the opportunities for the engagement?
      ii. if no why were they not involved (if known) and are there suggestions for future engagement opportunities?

3. Was the proposal/manuscript written in a way that could be understood by the broader community (using lay language)?
   a. Was there an acronym/definition list?
   b. If a manuscript – where is it intended to be published and can you identify the target audience?
      i. Do the intended journal and target audience match up?

Please also comment on anything else you think is important:

Recommendation (please choose one):

1. Approve
2. Approve with minor reservations (please briefly specify)
3. Approve with major reservations (please briefly specify)
4. Not approve (please briefly specify)